

Referee Markings

91-100 The referee was extremely accurate in decision making and very successfully controlled the game using management and communication skills to create an environment of fair play, adding real value to the game.

81-90 The referee was very accurate in decision making and successfully controlled the game using management and communication skills to create an environment of fair play.

71-80 The referee was accurate in decision making and successfully controlled the game well communicating with the players, making a positive contribution to the game.

61-70 The referee was reasonable accurate in decision making, controlled the game quite well and communicated with players, establishing a reasonable degree of fair play.

60 The referee had some shortcomings in the level of accuracy in decision making and control, with only limited success in communicating with the players resulting in variable fair play. The referee had significant shortcomings in the level of accuracy of decision making and control with poor communication with the players which resulted in low levels of fair play.

FINAL THOUGHTS: Try to be objective when marking. You may obtain the most objective view by marking the referee immediately after the game. Judge the performance over the whole game. Do not be influenced by one particular incident.

Do not mark the referee down unfairly because your team was unlucky and lost the game or some disciplinary action was taken against your players.

If you forget to find out the name of the referee to put on your card, ring the opposing manager to find out what it was. The NAME of the referee must be recorded on the result card either officially appointed or mutually agreed between the teams.

A club awarding a mark of 60 or below to the referee on their result card must send in to the relevant result card secretary an accompanying letter explaining why such a low mark was given, the letter must also be copied to the referee's secretary.